

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 52643-00335USPT 4460 02/22/2002 James A. Stares 10/082,620 EXAMINER 07/12/2004 26231 7590 FOX, JOHN C

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 5000 BANK ONE CENTER 1717 MAIN STREET DALLAS, TX 75201

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004

3753

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/082,620	STARES, JAMES A.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		John Fox	3753	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NG - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we care to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 March 2004</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2-10,12-24 and 26-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 2-10,12-24 and 26-28 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers			
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	t(s)  e of References Cited (PTO-892)  e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) tte atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/082,620

Art Unit: 3753

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 2-7, 10, 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kruschik. Kruschik shows a valve having an eccentric cage 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and an annular chamber 18, the elements 8, 9 and 12 being angled towards the right in Figure 2 and the walls of the ports "passing" straight through the wall of the cage.

Applicant's remarks have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive. Schnetzer, of record, is an example of a valve with ports which are not angled. If an outer portion of the port wall is reduced, it can fairly be termed angled. This is what Kruschik shows.

Claims 6, 8-9, 14, 16-17, 22, 24, 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barr. Barr shows a valve with inlet 8, outlet 9, cage 16 with lateral ports 30, plug 15 and chamber 7 with a larger area adjacent the outlet than opposite the outlet. The upper and lower segments of the cage shown in Figure 2 are read as triangular flow splitters in that they are generally triangular and split the flow.

Applicant's remarks have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive. The upper ports which are angled towards outlet 9 comprise a plurality of ports. Nothing in the claim precludes a second plurality of ports.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Barr in view of Kruschik. Barr shows the claimed valve except for different sized ports. Kruschik shows a valve which can have either the same sized ports or different sized ports. It would have been obvious for one of

Application/Control Number: 10/082,620

Art Unit: 3753

ordinary skill in the art to have used such different sized ports in the valve of Barr to modify the flow metering, for example.

Claims 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Kruschik in view of JP 114,066. Kruschik shows the claimed invention except for have port walls which curve. JP 114,066 shows a similar valve with straight port walls for directing the flow of fluid. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have used such straight walls as taught by JP 114,066 in the valve of Kruschik to minimize manufacturing costs, for example.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 703-308-2595. The examiner can normally be reached on Maximum Flextime.

Art Unit: 3753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherble can be reached on 703-308-1257. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Fox Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753

\*\*\*